



Wittgenstein Centre

FOR DEMOGRAPHY AND  
GLOBAL HUMAN CAPITAL

# ***Exploring interreligious unions in Austria: Trends, patterns, fertility, and children's religious affiliation***

Raya Mutarak , Wittgenstein Centre (IIASA, VID/ÖAW, WU)

Maria Rita Testa, Wittgenstein Centre (IIASA, VID/ÖAW, WU)


WIREL, Vienna, 7 May 2014



# Background

- Significant social changes during the past decades in Austria
  - Secularization (Goujon et al. 2007)
  - Fertility decline among Catholic population
  - Rise in migration with greater fertility among immigrants
  - Increase in religious diversification (Goujon & Bauer 2014)
- Decline in religious homogamy among Catholics and Protestants (Lutz 1985)

**Figure 1:** Flowchart of relationships between modernization and family behaviours



# Research questions



Wittgenstein Centre

- What are individual characteristics associated with interreligious partnership formation?
- How does changing religious composition in a region of residence influences interreligious partnership formation?
- What is a religious affiliation of children of interreligious unions?
- Does fertility of women in interreligious union differ from those in endogamous partnership?



# Data

- 1971, 1981, 1991 and 2001 Austrian Population Census (10% sample)
- Obtained via IPUMS International

## Sample


- Interreligious unions: Men and women currently in partnership and living with a spouse/partner (n=708,286 couples)
- Religion of children: Children aged  $\leq 18$ , never married, living with both parents (n=630,626 children)
- Fertility: Women aged  $\geq 15$  in 1981 and 1991, aged  $\geq 16$  in 2001 (n=111,041 women)

# Interreligious partnership



Wittgenstein Centre





**Figure 2:** % distribution of interreligious unions by gender and Census year



# Interreligious partnership



**Figure 3:** Correlation between religious diversity index and interreligious unions in 35 Austrian regions (NUTS3)



# Interreligious partnership



**Multivariate results:** Logitic estimates of probability of being in interreligious unions by gender

- **Positively associated with “modern” characteristics**
  - High education
  - Having no religion
  - Hypogamy partnership (i.e. female education > male education)
  - Cohabitation/remarriage
- **Opportunity matters**
  - Catholics is the most common group being partnered with in an interreligious union.
  - Positively associated with religious diversity




# Children's religious affiliation



Wittgenstein Centre

**Figure 4:** % distribution of children's religion by father's and mother's religion




# Children's religious affiliation



Wittgenstein Centre

**Figure 5:** % distribution of children's religion by father's and mother's religion and partnership type




# Children's religious affiliation




Wittgenstein Centre

Figure 5: (continued)

## Jewish parent(s)



## Muslim parent(s)







# Children's religious affiliation



**Figure 6:** % children with no religion by birth cohort and parents' types of partnerships (excluding parents with no religion)

Wittgenstein Centre



# Children's religious affiliation




**Multivariate results:** Logistic estimates of probability of having no religion for children aged  $\leq 18$

- **Positively associated with “modern” characteristics**
  - Education of both parents
  - Birth cohort
- **Place of residence matters**
  - Living in Vienna, Graz, Salzburg (compared to Linz)

## Fertility by partnership type




**Figure 7:** Mean number of children ever born for women by age group and type of partnership: 1981, 1991, 2001



# Fertility by partnership type




**Figure 8:** Mean number of children ever born for women by birth cohort and type of partnership: 1981, 1991, 2001



## Fertility by partnership type



**Figure 9:** Mean number of children ever born for women by religion and type of partnership: 1981, 1991, 2001





# Discussion

- Less “traditional” individuals are more likely to be in interreligious unions
- Interreligious partnership accelerates “modernization”
  - Increasing the chance of children having no religion
  - Having lower fertility



Wittgenstein Centre

FOR DEMOGRAPHY AND  
GLOBAL HUMAN CAPITAL

**THANK YOU!**

[muttarak@iiasa.ac.at](mailto:muttarak@iiasa.ac.at)  
[maria.rita.testa@wu.ac.at](mailto:maria.rita.testa@wu.ac.at)



International Institute for  
Applied Systems Analysis  
[www.iiasa.ac.at](http://www.iiasa.ac.at)

